

Mammoth Group: Redress Of Grievances

1. Are any of the panel members willing to go on record, stating that the lockdowns, business closures, and subsequent economic fallout in Mono County was warranted and justified?

2. Last weeks meeting on 7/30/20 both Sheriff Ingrid Braun and Police Chief Al Davis, stated they saw nearly full compliance of the mask mandate. If masks work, why then was there a surge of new cases?

Furthermore, it has been implied that the restaurant employees who have been wearing masks since the mandate are responsible for the uptick in new cases. Who on the panel is willing to go on record stating that masks of any kind stop the spread of Covid-19? If so, please cite the peer reviewed scientific studies which prove face masks can prevent the spread of Covid-19.

3. If the CDC says that the general public should not wear N-95 masks, why then have restaurant employees been mandated to wear them? In addition, some N-95 masks have respirators which filter in-flowing air but do nothing to filter out-flowing air if the valve is open. Does that not contradict the entire premise of mandating N-95 masks to restaurant workers?

Secondly, restaurant workers can also opt to wear surgical masks. However, these masks are designed and approved for STERILE environments. The amount of particles and contaminants in the outside and indoor environments where people work will contaminate these masks very quickly. The moisture from your breath combined with the contaminated mask will greatly compromise its effectiveness. It is recommended by health professionals that surgical masks should be changed out every 20-30 minutes in a non sterile environment. This same argument can be made in regard to N-95 masks, respirator or not. Any thoughts?

4. Is anyone on the panel, in particular Dr. Boo and Dr. Craig Burrows, willing to go on record stating that masks do not impede airflow, reduce oxygen intake, increase the co2 in blood levels, thereby increasing the risk of Hypoxia related illnesses? Especially at altitude and for unacclimated people.

5. According to TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242, whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, that willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section they shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

Our local health authority Dr. Boo, is in violation of TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 by illegally mandating wearing face masks, social distancing, and preventing the peaceful assembly of people under color of law. Are either sheriff Ingrid or Chief Davis going to enforce this law as they have sworn an oath to uphold the constitution of the United States?

5B. Last meeting on 7/30/20 both Sheriff Ingrid Braun and police Chief Al Davis said they will not enforce

the mask mandate, but will cite for the associated crime. If they aren't enforcing the mandate then please tell me how our local health authority, and associates are not in violation of TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242.

Both Sheriff Ingrid Braun and police Chief Al Davis said they would not enforce the mandate but they would cite for the associated crime, they cited trespassing as the associated crime. Below is our response to that kind of abuse of authority.

California Civil Code 51 states:

"All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

If people are cited for an associated crime due to the mandates you are not only in violation of California Civil Code 51, you are also in violation of TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242.

6. Under the illegal and unconstitutional mask mandate it states that people with health conditions can opt out of wearing a mask. Also, under CA Civil Code 51 people are protected by law from discrimination if they choose not to wear a mask for a multitude of reasons in any business establishment.

However, the health opt out as well as CA Civil Code 51 are not being emphasized by Mono County. WHEREAS, not emphasizing these laws you are directly putting individuals who choose not to wear a mask for the above reasons at risk of psychological trauma, assault, bodily injury, or death by individuals who are not informed of other peoples rights.

Will the officials of Mono County pledge to take significant action from now on, to inform the people of Mono County about their rights? So as to prevent verbal or physical confrontations and divisiveness in the community that may result in psychological trauma, assault, bodily injury, or death.

7. Last but not least I would like to leave some quotes from prominent figures and organizations and get the panels feedback on them.

(1) New England Journal of Medicine:

"We know that wearing a mask outside health care facilities offers little, if any, protection from infection."

(2) CAL-OSHA Regulations:

"Cloth face coverings do not protect against COVID -19"

(3) California Department of Health:

"Face coverings may increase risk if users reduce their use of strong defenses."

"There is limited evidence to suggest that use of cloth face coverings by the public during a pandemic could help reduce disease transmission."

(4) FDA - "Even a properly fitted N95 mask does not prevent illness or death"

(5) CDC — "There is no scientific evidence for healthy people wearing masks"

(6) Neurosurgeon Dr. Russell Blaylock :

"There is no scientific evidence that masks are effective. If you are not sick, you should not wear a face mask."

(7) Columbia University: Psychological Harms of Face Masks:

"Many young children burst into tears or recoil when someone wearing a mask approaches. By putting on masks, we take away information that makes it especially difficult for children to recognize others and read emotional signals, which is unsettling and disconcerting."

(8) US Surgeon General Jerome Adams:

"Masks are not effective in preventing the general public from catching coronavirus."

(9) Dr. Anthony Fauci:

"People should not be walking around wearing masks. Masks do not provide the protection people think they do."

(10) WHO, Dr. Mike Ryan:

"There is no specific evidence to suggest that the wearing of masks by the mass population has any potential benefit. In fact, there's some evidence to suggest the opposite in the misuse of wearing a mask properly or fitting it properly."

(11) US Department of Labor — OSHA:

"Oxygen deficient is any atmosphere that contains less than 19.5%." This happens when the oxygen is displaced by inert gas such as CARBON DIOXIDE and is the leading cause of FATALITIES." The effective oxygen at 8,00 feet is 15.4% without a mask.

(12) Bulgarian Pathology Association:

"Lockdowns and hygienic measures around the world are based on numbers of cases and mortality rates created by the so-called SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR tests used to identify "positive" patients, whereby "positive" is usually equated with "infected."

But looking closely at the facts, the conclusion is that these PCR tests are meaningless as a diagnostic tool to determine an alleged infection by a supposedly new virus called SARS-CoV-2."

"Though the whole world relies on RT-PCR to 'diagnose' Sars-Cov-2 infection, the science is clear: they are not fit for purpose"